UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/605,318	09/22/2003	Michael JOHANSSON	07589.0129.PCUS00	2317
	7590 11/24/200 CE AND QUIGG LLP	EXAMINER		
1000 LOUISIANA STREET FIFTY-THIRD FLOOR			DOLE, TIMOTHY J	
HOUSTON, TX	<del></del>		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2831	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/24/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/605,318	JOHANSSON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	TIMOTHY J. DOLE	2831				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 Oc</u>	ctober 2007					
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	action is non-final.					
<i>i</i> —	/ <del></del>					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
·						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3,5-7,10,11 and 15-30</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 3,5-7,10,11 and 15-30 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>22 September 2003</u> is/a	ire∶ a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objec	ted to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/605,318 Page 2

Art Unit: 2831

## **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on October 25, 2007 has been entered.

## Claim Objections

2. Claim 25 is objected to because of the following informalities: "a" should be removed between "sends" and "the" on line 1 of claim 25. Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 15-17, 23, 24 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Butchko (US 4,866,390).

Referring to claims 23 and 30, Butchko discloses a system and method for monitoring electrical components of a vehicle to confirm that the electrical components

operate properly, the system comprising: an instrument (fig. 1) including a display (fig. 1 (CR1-CR3, CR7-CR9, CR17 and CR18)) and a control unit (fig. 1 (14)); a control system contained in the control unit to activate a plurality of electrical components for an activation time and in an activation sequence that allows an operator to walk around the vehicle to verify proper operation of each of the plurality of electrical components (column 5, lines 38-46); and an input device (fig. 1 (SW1-SW4)) allowing the operator to give at least one message to the control system (column 5, lines 29-43) that further includes means for allowing the control system to give at least one message to the operator (column 5, lines 29-53) and means for measuring at least one characteristic value for each of the plurality of electrical components (column 6, lines 4-18).

Referring to claim 24, Butchko discloses the system as claimed wherein the input device is selected from the group consisting of an electrically connected input unit (fig. 1 (SW1-SW4)) and a remote transmitting unit.

Referring to claim 15, Butchko discloses the method as claimed wherein the plurality of electrical components to be activated can be selected by the operator (column 5, lines 29-37).

Referring to claim 16, Butchko discloses the method as claimed wherein the plurality of electrical components is a part of all the electrical components of the vehicle (column 5, lines 29-43).

Referring to claim 17, Butchko discloses the method as claimed wherein the control system activates the part when a particular predefined event takes place (column 5, lines 29-43).

Application/Control Number: 10/605,318 Page 4

Art Unit: 2831

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 3, 5, 7, 10, 11, 18-20 and 25-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Butchko in view of Summons et al. (WO 87/03548).

Referring to claim 3, Butchko discloses the system as claimed except wherein the system further comprises: means for comparing at least one measured characteristic value with at least one saved nominal value.

Summons et al discloses the system as claimed, wherein the system further comprises: means (fig. 1 (16)) for comparing at least one measured characteristic value with at least one saved nominal value (abstract).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the comparing means of Summons et al. into the system of Butchko for the purpose of being able to indicate when the values differ by a predetermined amount (abstract).

Referring to claim 25, Butchko discloses the system as claimed except wherein the operator sends a the at least one message to the control system for recording, by the means for measuring, of a nominal value for each of the plurality of electrical

components included in the activation sequence, the means for measuring saving each the nominal value to provide at least one saved data set for a selected vehicle.

Summons et al. discloses the operator sends a the at least one message to the control system for recording, by the means for measuring, of a nominal value for each of the plurality of electrical components included in the activation sequence, the means for measuring saving each the nominal value to provide at least one saved data set for a selected vehicle (page 4, line 11 – page 5, line 17).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the data saving of Summons et al. into the system of Butchko for the purpose of providing an average stored value whereby making comparisons with measured values more accurate (abstract).

Referring to claim 5, Butchko discloses the system as claimed except wherein the system further comprises: means for saving at least one historical value for at least one of the plurality of components in at least one historical data set.

Summons et al. discloses the system further comprises: means (fig. 1 (13)) for saving at least one historical value for at least one of the plurality of components in at least one historical data set (page 4, line 11 – page 5, line 17).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the data saving of Summons et al. into the system of Butchko for the purpose of providing a stored value whereby making it possible to compare measured values with previous data (abstract).

Application/Control Number: 10/605,318

Referring to claim 7, Butchko discloses the system as claimed except wherein the system further comprises: means for transferring one or more historical data set(s) to a central unit.

Summons et al. discloses the system further comprises: means for transferring one or more historical data set(s) to a central unit (page 4, line 11 – page 5, line 17).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the data saving of Summons et al. into the system of Butchko for the same purpose as given in claim 5, above.

Referring to claims 26-28, Butchko discloses the system as claimed wherein the vehicle includes a tractor unit having connection physically and electrically for towing at least a first trailer unit and the data set includes nominal values for the tractor unit and the at least a first trailer unit (column 3, lines 40-57). It should be noted that the invention of Butchko would work for any vehicle that has a suitable connection. Therefore it will provide data sets for tractor units as well as multiple trailer units.

Referring to claim 29, Butchko discloses the system as claimed wherein the plurality of components is a plurality of light bulbs (abstract).

Referring to claim 10, Butchko discloses the method as claimed except for comparing the at least one characteristic value with at least one saved nominal value for the at least one of the plurality of components; and comparing the at least one characteristic value against at least one saved maximum and a saved minimum value for the at least one of the plurality of components.

Summons et al. discloses comparing the at least one characteristic value with at least one saved nominal value for the at least one of the plurality of components (page 14, lines 14-16); and comparing the at least one characteristic value with at least one saved maximum and a saved minimum value for the at least one of the plurality of components (page 13, lines 11-18).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the data saving of Summons et al. into the method of Butchko for the same purpose as given in claims 3 and 5, above.

Referring to claim 11, Butchko discloses the method as claimed except for giving the at least one message to the operator and saving at least one error message when the at least one characteristic value differs from the at least one saved nominal value by more than a predefined factor including when the at least one characteristic value is not included between the saved minimum value and the at least one saved maximum value.

Summons et al. discloses giving the at least one message to the operator and saving at least one error message when the at least one characteristic value differs from the at least one saved nominal value by more than a predefined factor including when the at least one characteristic value is not included between the saved minimum value and the at least one saved maximum value (page 16, lines 20-25).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the data saving of Summons et al. into the method of Butchko for the same purpose as given in claim 5, above.

Referring to claim 18, Butchko discloses the method as claimed except for saving characteristic values for the part as a data set.

Summons et al. discloses saving characteristic values for the part as a data set (page 14, lines 14-16).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the data saving of Summons et al. into the method of Butchko for the same purpose as given in claim 5, above.

Referring to claim 19, Butchko discloses the method as claimed except for selecting one of a number of data sets of saved nominal values for comparing with the data set for the part.

Summons et al. discloses selecting one of a number of data sets of saved nominal values for comparing with the data set for the part (page 14, lines 14-16).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the data saving of Summons et al. into the method of Butchko for the same purpose as given in claim 5, above.

Referring to claim 20, Butchko discloses the method as claimed except for saving historical values for at least one of the plurality of components as at least one historical data set.

Summons et al. discloses saving historical values for at least one of the plurality of components as at least one historical data set (page 4, line 11 – page 5, line 17).

Art Unit: 2831

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the data saving of Summons et al. into the method of Butchko for the same purpose as given in claim 5, above.

7. Claims 6, 21 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Butchko in view of Summons et al. as applied to claims 5 and 20 above, and further in view of Toshiba Corp. (JP 02-142093).

Referring to claims 6 and 21, Butchko as modified discloses the system and method as claimed except wherein the system further comprises means for predicting the service life of a component using the at least one historical data set.

Toshiba Corp. discloses a life estimate device including means for predicting the service life of a component using the at least one historical data set (abstract). It should be noted that the historical data set is the "standard amount" of Toshiba Corp., which is compared with the consumption amount to determine filament service life (abstract).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the life estimate of Toshiba Corp. into the system and method of Butchko as modified for the purpose of avoiding the trouble resulting from a shortage of luminous intensity (abstract).

Referring to claim 22, Butchko discloses the method as claimed except for transferring at least one data set selected from a data set of the part and at least one historical dataset to a central database.

Application/Control Number: 10/605,318 Page 10

Art Unit: 2831

Summons et al. discloses transferring at least one data set selected from a data set of the part and at least one historical dataset to a central database (page 4, line 11 – page 5, line 17).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the data saving of Summons et al. into the method of Butchko for the same purpose as given in claim 5, above.

## Response to Arguments

- 8. Applicant's arguments filed October 25, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 9. In response to Applicants argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., monitoring *all types* of electrical components) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).
- 10. In response to Applicants arguments with respect to claims 23 and 30, that "the term electrical components in claims 23 and 30 mean something other than light bulbs" (page 2 lines 15-16), the Examiner respectfully disagrees. Light bulbs are electrical components and claiming that the electrical components are light bulbs, as in claim 29, does not require the term "electrical components" in claim 23 to have a different meaning. Similarly, with claims 30 and 16, stating that the plurality of electrical components is a part of all the electrical components of the vehicle,

as in claim 16, does not require the term "electrical components" in claim 30 to have a different meaning.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TIMOTHY J. DOLE whose telephone number is (571)272-2229. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. thru Fri. from 8:00 to 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Diego Gutierrez can be reached on (571) 272-2245. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Timothy J. Dole/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2831